

REMARKS

*Interview
record OK
9/11*
Applicant's Attorney thanks Examiner Sue Weaver for the courtesy of the interview held on June 2, 2005. This amendment includes the changes discussed at the interview and is believed to place the case in condition for allowance.

Thus, in accordance with the instant amendment the title has been amended to render same more descriptive of the claimed invention.

Paragraph 2 of the action refers to projection (52), see Figure 4. In accordance with the newly submitted claims the term "button-like" has been deleted. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that corrected drawings are not necessary. This is also believed to obviate the objections in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the action. Applicant notes that, as discussed at the interview, new dependent Claim 24 specifies that the inward projection (52) is rounded, as clearly shown in Figure 4.

Concerning the Examiner's objection that feet (34) have not been shown, as agreed at the interview the identification of feet (34) in Figure 2 will be sufficient.

In accordance with the instant amendment, Claims 1 – 22 have been canceled and new Claims 23 – 42 substituted therefor. Applicant notes that the preform claims have been canceled without prejudice to the filing of a continuation application thereon.